Running Head: Knee extensor strength and risk of deterioration in knee OA

**Title:** Knee extensor strength and risk of structural, symptomatic and functional decline in knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Adam G Culvenor, PT, PhD<sup>1, 2</sup>, Anja Ruhdorfer, MD<sup>1</sup>, Carsten Juhl, PhD<sup>3, 4</sup>, Felix Eckstein, MD<sup>1</sup>, Britt Elin Øiestad, PT, PhD<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Anatomy, Paracelsus Medical University Salzburg & Nuremberg, Salzburg, AUSTRIA

<sup>2</sup> School of Allied Health, La Trobe University, Bundoora, AUSTRALIA

 <sup>3</sup> Research Unit for Musculoskeletal Function and Physiotherapy, Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, DENMARK
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Rehabilitation, University Hospital of Copenhagen, Herlev and Gentofte, DENMARK

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physiotherapy, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Oslo, NORWAY

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr Adam G Culvenor

Institute of Anatomy, Paracelsus Medical University,

Strubergasse 21, A5020 Salzburg, AUSTRIA

Tel: +43662242080417; Fax: +436624420021249; Email: adam.culvenor@pmu.ac.at

#### Word Count: 3,799

**Funding:** This research received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-ITN; KNEEMO) under grant agreement number 607510.

#### Potential conflict of interest:

Felix Eckstein is CEO of Chondrometrics GmbH, a company providing MR image analysis services to academic researchers and to industry. He has provided consulting services to Merck Serono, Bioclinica/Synarc and Samumed, has prepared educational sessions for Medtronic, and has received research support from Merck Serono, Abbvie, Kolon, Synarc, Ampio, BICL and Orthotrophix. No other authors declare a conflict of interest.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article', doi: 10.1002/acr.23005 © 2016 American College of Rheumatology

Received: Mar 07, 2016; Revised: Jul 05, 2015; Accepted: Aug 09, 2016

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective.** To perform a systematic review and meta-analysis on the association between knee extensor strength and the risk of structural, symptomatic, or functional deterioration in individuals with or at risk of knee osteoarthritis (KOA).

**Methods.** We systematically identified and methodologically appraised all longitudinal studies ( $\geq$ 1-year follow-up) reporting an association between knee extensor strength and structural (tibiofemoral, patellofemoral), symptomatic (self-reported, knee replacement), or functional (subjective, objective) decline in individuals with or at risk of radiographic or symptomatic KOA. Results were pooled for each of the above associations using meta-analysis, or if necessary, summarized according to a best-evidence synthesis.

**Results.** Fifteen studies were included, evaluating >8,000 participants (51% female), with a follow-up time between 1.5 and 8 years. Meta-analysis revealed that lower knee extensor strength was associated with an increased risk of symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain: odds ratio [OR] 1.35, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.10, 1.67) and functional decline (WOMAC-Function: OR 1.38, 95%CI 1.00, 1.89; chair-stand task: OR 1.03, 95%CI 1.03, 1.04), but not increased risk of radiographic tibiofemoral joint space narrowing (JSN) (OR 1.15, 95%CI 0.84, 1.56). No trend in risk was observed for KOA status (present vs. absent). Best-evidence synthesis showed inconclusive evidence for lower knee extensor strength being associated with increased risk of patellofemoral deterioration.

**Conclusion.** Meta-analysis showed that lower knee extensor strength is associated with an increased risk of symptomatic and functional deterioration, but not tibiofemoral JSN. The risk of patellofemoral deterioration in the presence of knee extensor strength deficits is inconclusive.

*Keywords:* muscle strength, osteoarthritis, progression, risk factor, symptoms

#### SIGNIFICANCE AND INNOVATIONS

- 1. The first meta-analysis evaluating whether lower knee extensor strength is associated with an increased risk of knee osteoarthritis deterioration/progression.
- Individuals with lower knee extensor strength suffer from an increased risk of symptomatic and functional deterioration ranging from 1.5 to 8 years follow-up.
- Lower knee extensor strength does not increase the risk of tibiofemoral joint space narrowing; the risk of patellofemoral deterioration in the presence of knee extensor strength deficits is inconclusive.

Accepted

Knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is a leading cause of pain and disability among older adults (1). Despite its alarming prevalence, regulatory approved therapies that modify the onset or progression of structural damage in KOA have remained elusive. Treatment for KOA is restricted to managing symptoms and functional decline (2). However, even these therapeutic paradigms are largely limited to palliative measures, and surgical knee replacement remains the inevitable fate for many individuals with KOA (3). The identification of modifiable risk factors for KOA structural, symptomatic and functional decline is therefore a priority.

Knee extensor (KE) muscle strength is thought to be a modifiable risk factor of KOA, as low KE strength is commonly observed in individuals with KOA. A previous systematic review and meta-analysis has revealed that low KE strength predicts incident radiographic disease (4). However, whether low KE strength is associated with the progression of KOA, once present, is less clear. Although this question has been evaluated in several systematic reviews, these have broadly included a plethora of potential prognostic factors for radiographic (5, 6) or symptomatic (7, 8) progression, or specific patient characteristics (9) associated with progression without performing meta-analysis. Further, conclusions from these reviews are limited due to a maximum of three studies included, and the relationship between low KE strength and progression of patellofemoral OA has not been considered. Yet patellofemoral pathology represents an important and often unrecognized source of knee pain and disability (10-12).

The fact that earlier systematic reviews have included few studies evaluating low KE strength, likely reflects their focus on KOA *progression* (5-9), which, by definition, requires

participants to have KOA at study inclusion (i.e., individuals with pre-radiographic KOA were excluded). However, potential therapies aimed at modifying KOA progression may be better placed to target early stages of disease (i.e., pre-radiographic) when management strategies may be more efficacious (13). Therefore, the objective of this study was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to specifically investigate if low KE strength was associated with an increased risk of structural, symptomatic or functional deterioration in men and women with, or at risk of, KOA.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (14) for conducting and reporting this systematic review. The protocol was registered on PROSPERO International prospective register (CRD42015026986).

#### Search Strategy

We performed systematic searches in six electronic databases in October 2015 (and repeated in February 2016): Medline, EMBASE, SPORTDiscus, CINAHL, Scopus and Web of Science. The search strategy contained related terms within the four key themes of knee, osteoarthritis, risk factor and muscle strength, and was adjusted to each database's specifications (Appendix 1). No restrictions were placed on language or publication year. The search was undertaken independently by two authors (AGC, AR), who screened titles and abstracts for eligibility, and recursively reviewed the reference lists of all relevant articles for additional publications. When eligibility could not be confirmed from title and abstract, full-texts were reviewed. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and, if required, taken to an independent researcher (BEØ) for consensus.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

5

### Selection criteria

To be eligible for inclusion, studies had to include assessment of maximal KE strength (e.g., Newtons [N], Newton-metres [Nm], pound-feet [Lb-ft], or as a limb symmetry index [LSI]) in subjects with, or at risk of, radiographic or symptomatic KOA. Radiographic KOA could be defined using an established radiographic classification system, or as the presence of osteophytes and/or joint space narrowing (JSN). Symptomatic KOA could be defined using the American College of Rheumatology criteria (definite osteophyte and knee pain) (15) or other methods such as radiographic atlases and knee pain. Populations "at risk" included those aged >50 years with one or more established risk factor(s) for KOA including: knee symptoms, overweight, history of knee trauma, or family history of KOA. Additionally, studies had to be prospective (follow-up  $\geq 1$  year), and include a specific measure of structural, symptomatic or functional decline (i.e. outcome compared from baseline to follow-up). If multiple publications featuring data from the same study cohort on the same outcome measure were retrieved, the article reporting outcomes of interest for the greater number of eligible participants was included, or in cases where females and males could be evaluated separately with meta-analyses, studies reporting sex-specific outcomes were included.

#### **Data extraction**

The following data was extracted from the included studies independently by two authors (AGC, AR): number of subjects, sex, age, body mass index (BMI), prevalence of radiographic KOA, KE strength and follow-up years. The definition of structural (tibiofemoral or patellofemoral), symptomatic or functional deterioration assessed and the association with

baseline KE strength (separate for those with vs. those without [but at risk of] KOA where possible) was also extracted. Structural decline included increased JSN, worsening score on a radiographic atlas (e.g., Kellgren Lawrence grade [KLG]) and worsening MRI assessed structure (e.g., cartilage loss, increase in cartilage lesion scores). Symptomatic deterioration included worsening symptoms (e.g., WOMAC-pain) or total knee replacement (TKR), for which worsening knee symptoms not amenable to non-surgical management is a primary indication (16). Functional decline included worsening self-reported (e.g., WOMAC-function, SF-12 function) or performance-based measures (e.g., sit-to-stand, walking or stair-climbing tasks). When relevant studies did not report odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), or did not report adequate data for these to be computed, or were inadequate for comparing associations in males and females, we contacted the corresponding author for additional data to complete meta-analysis.

#### **Risk of bias**

Study quality was assessed by two reviewers (AGC, BEØ) using guidelines from the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) (17). These guidelines are made up of 10 items assessing different domains of study quality. One item from the Downs and Black's checklist [Was the main outcome measure used accurate (i.e., valid and reliable)?] was additionally included to cover a lack of assessment of outcome measure accuracy in the CRD guidelines (18) to provide 11 items in total (Table 3). Each component of methodological quality was rated as 'Adequate', 'Unclear', or 'Inadequate'. Studies were classified as high-quality when they had a quality score  $\geq$ 7 (>60% of the maximal attainable score) (6).

#### **Evidence Synthesis**

When more than one study evaluated the risk of the same outcome decline in the presence of KE strength deficits, meta-analysis was performed wherever possible, if the OR and 95%CI could be calculated. Meta-analysis was applied on the basis of the OR of outcome deterioration in subjects with lower KE strength. We used random-effects models as differences in population and outcomes were expected (Stata v14.0; StataCorp, Texas, USA). When ORs were not presented in the included studies, or could not be calculated based on the number of case knees in the exposed and unexposed groups, the estimate was transformed to OR from the standard mean difference of muscle strength between participants with and without outcome decline; this was done using the method described in the Cochrane Handbook (19). When associations were reported based on tertiles or guartiles of KE strength (20-23), the lowest strength group (exposed group) was compared to all other groups combined (non-exposed group). Data from adjusted analyses were extracted wherever possible. An OR>1 indicates lower KE strength being associated with outcome deterioration. Heterogeneity between studies was evaluated for each outcome measure using standard Q-tests, and was calculated as I<sup>2</sup> statistics, describing the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. An I<sup>2</sup> value of 0% indicates that no inconsistency was seen between the results of individual studies, and an I<sup>2</sup> value of 100% indicates maximal inconsistency. When study results were reported based on the number of knees, we recalculated the result based on the number of study participants (20, 21, 24). Where sufficient data permitted, stratified analyses were performed for those with vs. without (but at risk of) KOA, males vs. females, and for the specific knee compartment affected (i.e., tibiofemoral/patellofemoral).

Data that could not be included in meta-analyses were summarized using best-evidence synthesis. The level of evidence was based on updated guidelines (25) and was divided into the following levels: i) strong evidence (consistent [>75%] findings among multiple [ $\geq$ 2] high-quality studies); ii) moderate evidence (findings in one high-quality study and consistent [75%] findings in  $\geq$ 2 low-quality studies); iii) limited evidence (findings in one high-quality study or consistent findings in  $\geq$ 3 low-quality studies); and iv) conflicting or inconclusive evidence (<75% of the studies reported consistent findings, or the results were from only one study).

#### RESULTS

#### **Study Selection**

The systematic search yielded a total of 2651 studies, with the last search conducted on 22 February 2016: Medline=312, EMBASE=1128, SportsDiscus=128, CINAHL=138, Scopus=879, and Web of Science=36. After removal of 985 duplicates, a further 1599 papers were excluded through screening of titles and abstracts, resulting in the full-text retrieval of 40 studies. These included two additional studies identified from reference screening of key papers (23, 24), and one additional study published online ahead-of-print (26). Fifteen studies were included in the final review (Figure 1). Reasons for exclusion of the other 25 studies, for which full-text review was completed, are detailed in Appendix 2. Additional data was provided by Thomas et al. (23), Zeni et al. (27) (unadjusted ORs separately for males and females) and Glass et al. (21) (adjusted ORs for males and females separately).

#### **FIGURE ONE HERE**

#### **Study Characteristics**

The 15 studies included had a follow-up time ranging from 1.5 to 8 years (Table 1). Ten studies were classified as high-quality. Participant numbers varied between 82 and 3,975 (overall 51% female). The study samples consisted of elderly people (mean age  $\geq 60$  years), who were generally overweight (BMI  $\geq 25$ kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with radiographic KOA (Table 1). Study populations included those with radiographic KOA (22, 24, 27-30), those with, or at high risk of, radiographic KOA (20, 21, 26, 31-33), and those with knee symptoms (23), knee symptoms and functional limitations (34), or knee symptoms and KLG≥1 (35). Structural decline was assessed by a longitudinal increase in the KLG>1 (24); an increase in Osteoarthritis Research society International (OARSI) JSN grade  $\geq 1$  (29) or  $\geq 0.5$  (20); reduction in quantitative measures of the radiographic joint space width  $\geq$ 328µm (32) or >0.2mm annually (35); and increased cartilage lesion Whole Organ MRI Score (WORMS) ≥1 (22). Two studies reported results for patellofemoral structural deterioration using increase in JSN OARSI grade  $\geq 0.5$  (20) and increase in MRI cartilage lesion WORMS $\geq 1$  (22). Symptomatic decline was assessed by an increase in the WOMAC-pain score >3 (on the 20point scale) (21) or  $\geq$ 15% of baseline score (24), by total knee replacement (26, 27), or by an increase in pain on visual analogue scale (VAS). However, pain data were eventually excluded because the authors did not report muscle strength for specific pain change groups (22). Functional decline was assessed with increased WOMAC-function score using Sharma et al.'s quintile method' (23, 28, 31), any increase in WOMAC-function score (22, 30), or an improvement by <3.6 points on the 68-point WOMAC function scale (33). Other measures of functional decline were a reduction in the SF-12 score using the quintile method (31), any increase in the Functional Performance Inventory (FPI) score (34), a reduction in the ability to perform a chair-stand task using the quintile method (28, 31), any decrease in walking

speed (30), or a "poor walking speed" outcome using the quintile method (31), any decrease in car-transfer speed (34), or any decrease in stair-climbing speed (34). Similar to VAS pain, the WOMAC-function data from Amin et al. was excluded because muscle strength was not reported for specific WOMAC-function change groups (22).

#### **TABLE ONE HERE**

#### Synthesis of results and subgroup analysis

Meta-analysis could be performed on five outcomes with  $\geq 2$  studies included (Figures 2 & 3). Only one study reported data separately for those at risk of (but without) KOA (KLG 0-1) (32); others reported data for the entire cohort (i.e., radiographic KOA only, or those with and without KOA combined) (Figure 3). We did not perform subgroup analysis based on KOA status (presence vs. absence) separately for men and women, as only two studies were included in each of these meta-analyses (Figure 3).

#### **FIGURE TWO HERE**

**FIGURE THREE HERE** 

#### Structural deterioration

Meta-analysis showed no increased risk of tibiofemoral JSN (OR 1.11, 95%CI 0.81, 1.53;  $I^2$ =75.2%) in individuals with lower KE strength (Figure 2). Stratified analysis for KOA status showed no trend in risk of tibiofemoral JSN for individuals with lower KE strength based on the presence or absence of KOA (Figure 3). Stratified analysis for sex showed similar results for men and women (Figure 3). In best-evidence synthesis, there was inconclusive evidence

for lower KE strength increasing the risk of tibiofemoral KLG and cartilage lesion deterioration, and patellofemoral JSN and cartilage lesion deterioration (Table 2), due to only one study evaluating each outcome.

#### Symptomatic deterioration

Pooling of WOMAC-pain and TKR data revealed that baseline KE strength deficits significantly increased the risk of worsening WOMAC-pain (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.10, 1.67;  $I^2$ =0.0%), but not TKR (OR 1.69, 95% CI 0.80, 3.57;  $I^2$ =74.2%) (Figure 2). Knee OA subgroup analysis showed that lower KE strength was associated with an increased risk of WOMAC-pain and TKR in only the mixed group and the isolated KOA group, respectively (Figure 3). Stratified analyses for sex showed an increased risk of WOMAC-pain decline and TKR in women, which was not found in men (Figure 3).

#### Functional deterioration

Meta-analysis showed an increased risk of WOMAC-function decline (OR 1.38, 95% Cl 1.00, 1.89; 1<sup>2</sup>=88.4%) and chair-stand performance deterioration (OR 1.03, 95% Cl 1.03, 1.04; 1<sup>2</sup>=0.0%) with lower KE strength (Figure 2). There was no trend for risk based on KOA status (Figure 3). Stratified analysis for sex was not possible due to limited data. Data from six other subjective and objective functional outcomes could not be pooled due to only a single study evaluating one particular outcome (31, 34), or a lack of data, precluding conversion to an OR (30, 31). Best-evidence synthesis of three high-quality studies showed conflicting evidence for the relationship between lower KE strength and both self-reported and objective outcomes (Table 2).

#### TABLE TWO HERE

#### **Risk of bias**

Study quality is presented in Table 3. Assessment of prognostic factors, length of follow-up and assessment of main outcome measures were adequate for all selected studies. Two thirds (67%) of the studies included followed up a sufficient portion (i.e.,  $\geq$ 80%) of the cohort, and the majority (87%) sufficiently described the exposed (low muscle strength) and unexposed (normal/high muscle strength) groups. No study clearly demonstrated a dose-response relationship between KE muscle strength and outcome decline.

#### TABLE THREE HERE

#### DISCUSSION

This systematic review included 15 studies with more than 8,000 study participants with, or at risk of, KOA. The results of the meta-analysis showed that individuals with lower KE strength suffer from an increased risk of symptomatic (WOMAC-pain) and functional (WOMAC-function and chair-stand task performance) deterioration, but not tibiofemoral structural deterioration; however, the effect size was relatively small in most analyses. There was inconclusive and conflicting evidence in the best-evidence synthesis for lower KE strength increasing the risk of patellofemoral structural deterioration and other measures of functional decline (self-reported and objectively measured), respectively. Few differences in deterioration risk were identified between those with and without KOA, but only one of the studies included separate groups of people with and without KOA. When stratified by sex, meta-analysis revealed an increased risk of symptomatic decline (WOMAC-pain, TKR) was present in women, but not in men.

Previous reviews have suggested that lower KE strength is not a risk factor for structural progression of KOA (5, 6, 9) or that there is limited/conflicting evidence for symptomatic progression (7, 8), but these studies did not synthesize results in a meta-analysis. Our current analyses extend findings from these previous reviews by reporting a pooled OR that shows lower KE strength is not associated with an increased risk of structural tibiofemoral OA deterioration, neither in men nor women. In contrast to the conflicting evidence for symptomatic progression reported previously, our meta-analysis demonstrates that lower KE strength is associated with increased risk of worsening symptoms (WOMAC-pain and TKR), particularly in women. The current review further extends previous reviews by demonstrating that lower KE strength is associated with functional decline, both subjectively and objectively, which has not been reported previously. The inclusion of individuals with, or at risk of, KOA, ensured we were able to capture deterioration throughout a wider spectrum of disease than when only studying patients with established radiographic KOA, and enabled some stratified analyses for KOA status to be performed.

The large variety of outcome measures used to assess deterioration in KOA limited our ability to pool all data and was responsible for only two studies being pooled for most outcomes. These results reflect the lack of universally accepted outcome measures in KOA. The pooled data from this low number of studies thus needs to be interpreted with some caution given the considerable influence that one study can have on the pooled results (and the low-quality of some studies). Other potential limitations of this review include the

pooling of studies that used different approaches to assess the same outcome. For example, pooled tibiofemoral JSN data included quantitative joint space width measures (assessed in mm) as well as semi-quantitative JSN (assessed in grades of severity). These differences in criteria likely were responsible for some inconsistency in the analyses (I<sup>2</sup> ranging from 0 to 88%), but studies assessing the same outcome measure were considered sufficiently homogenous in population and outcome to pool. Given that our review included patients with, or at risk of, KOA, the proportion of participants with radiographic KOA varied between studies. The stratified analysis based on KOA status was limited by only one study reporting results separately for those with vs. without KOA (32). The distinct lack of data for the group without, but at risk of, KOA should be a focus of future research as the potential to modify disease progression may be greatest prior to the development of radiographic disease (13).

Even though the included studies were all prospective cohort studies, methodological weaknesses were revealed through risk of bias assessment, with one-third of included studies being deemed low-quality (Table 3). Important confounding factors, such as age, sex and BMI were not always well matched between groups. The relationship between KE strength and risk of structural, symptomatic and functional decline is difficult to isolate from these potential confounding factors.

Our results differ from a recent meta-analysis that found low KE strength to increase the risk of incident tibiofemoral radiographic KOA (4). Different mechanisms are likely to play a role between muscle strength and incident and progressive KOA. Muscle strength is generally lower in those with established KOA than those without (36), thus the variation in strength in those with pre-existing KOA may be too little to observe an association with future structural deterioration. However, in our stratified analysis for KOA status, we observed no trend in risk between those with and without KOA. In predicting deterioration of knee structure, muscle strength may not serve as the best surrogate measure of muscle function. Other measures, such as endurance or activation, may be independently related to disease. Unlike isolated KE measures of muscle strength, physical performance measures, such as the one-leg-rise task, account for functional (weight-bearing) lower-limb (KE) endurance, and have been shown to be predictive of incident tibiofemoral OA (37) and future function/quality of life (38).

Although the risk of patellofemoral deterioration (JSN/worsening cartilage) in the presence of lower KE strength was inconclusive, of note was the significant associations observed between lower KE strength and both lateral patellofemoral cartilage deterioration (22) and patellofemoral JSN in women (20). Although these may be chance findings, there may potentially be a stronger association between low KE strength and patellofemoral deterioration (compared with tibiofemoral deterioration), which warrants further investigation.

Our pooled results, demonstrating that lower KE strength is associated with an increased risk of symptomatic and functional decline, particularly in women, underpin the importance of optimizing KE strength. Poor KE strength may lead to symptoms via abnormal loading and structural (patellofemoral) pathology, particularly as patellofemoral pathology has been reported to be a potent source of symptoms, more so than tibiofemoral pathology (10, 11). The fact that women, and not men, with KE strength deficits were at increased risk of TKR likely reflects the interaction of other factors, such as willingness to consider surgery, that

contribute to the complex decision to undergo TKR (39). There may be a larger risk of TKR in the presence of lower KE strength in individuals with established KOA compared to those without KOA (Figure 3), but because significant associations were observed in the combined KOA group more so than the isolated KOA group in other variables, there appears to be a limited trend in risk based on KOA status.

The different patient-reported and objectively measured functional outcomes included in this review all assess slightly different aspects of self-reported function and physical performance, yet meta-analysis of both aspects of function (WOMAC-function and chairstand performance) resulted in significant associations with lower KE strength. Conflicting evidence existed for the association between lower KE strength and other measures of function. Even though the assessment of KE strength was conducted with a similar laboratory based set-up (i.e., seated KE), the type of strength assessed (isokinetic/isometric, speed) and the reporting of measurement units was divergent (Table 1). This inconsistency reflects a lack of recommended gold standard for both the units of force measurement and method of normalization to body size.

The finding that lower KE strength is associated with an increased risk of symptomatic and functional decline suggests that interventions aimed at increasing KE strength may effectively minimize this risk. A large systematic review found an average 17% gain in strength following 1-6 months of resistance training in patients with KOA, and that this increase in KE strength improved self-reported pain and function in over 50-70% of the cohort (40). Also in line with our findings, the limited number of clinical trials evaluating the effect of KE strengthening on KOA progression fail to show a significant effect on disease

progression (41-43). Given that knees in the earliest stages of disease may be more responsive to targeted interventions, future research focusing on early KOA MRI changes may reveal a promising capacity of strengthening interventions to alter structural disease processes prior to established disease.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis showed that lower KE strength was associated with an increased risk of symptomatic (women only) and functional deterioration (men and women) in individuals with, or at risk of, KOA. There was no evidence of KE strength deficits being associated with an increased risk of tibiofemoral structural deterioration. No trend in risk was observed for KOA status (present vs. at risk). Bestevidence synthesis showed inconclusive evidence for lower KE strength being associated with increased risk of patellofemoral deterioration. Although a maximum of four studies were pooled for each outcome and a risk of bias existed for most studies, KE weakness appears to be an attractive target for minimizing symptomatic and functional decline, particularly in women.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-PEOPLE-2013-ITN; KNEEMO) under grant agreement number 607510. The sponsors were not involved in the design and conduct of this particular study, in the analysis and interpretation of the data, and in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;38D:2163-96.

2. Felson DT, Kim YJ. The futility of current approaches to chondroprotection. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:1378-83.

3. Losina E, Thornhill S, Rome BN, Wright J, Katz JN. The dramatic increase in total knee replacement utilization rates in the Unites States cannot be fully explained by growth in population size and the obesity epidemic. J Bone Joint Surg 2012;94A:201-7.

4. Oiestad BE, Juhl CB, Eitzen I, Thorlund JB. Knee extensor muscle weakness is a risk factor for development of knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2015;23:171-7.

5. Bastick AN, Belo JN, Runhaar J, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA. What are the prognostic factors for radiographic progression of knee osteoarthritis? A meta-analysis. Clin Orthop Rel Res 2015;473:2969-89.

6. Belo JN, Berger MY, Reijman M, Koes BW, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA. Prognostic factors of progression of osteoarthritis of the knee: A systematic review of observational studies. Arthritis Care Res 2007;57:13-26.

7. Bastick AN, Runhaar J, Belo JN, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA. Prognostic factors for progression of clinical osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review of observational studies studies. Arthritis Res Ther 2015;17:152.

8. van Dijk GM, Dekker J, Veenhof C, van den Ende CHM. Course of functional status and pain in osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a systematic review of the literature. Arthritis Care Res 2006;55:779-85. 9. Chapple CM, Nicholson H, Baxter GD, Abbott JH. Patient characteristics that predict progression of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of prognostic studies. Arthritis Care Res 2011;63:1115-25.

10. Hunter DJ, March L, Sambrook PN. The association of cartilage volume with knee pain. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2003;11:725-9.

- 11. Culvenor AG, Lai CCH, Gabbe BJ, Makdissi M, Collins NJ, Vicenzino B, et al. Patellofemoral osteoarthritis is prevalent and associated with worse symptoms and function after hamstring tendon autograft ACL reconstruction. Br J Sports Med 2014;48:435-9.
- 12. Culvenor AG, Cook JL, Collins NJ, Crossley KM. Is patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis an under-recognised outcome of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? A narrative literature review. Br J Sports Med 2013;47:66-70.
- 13. Pollard TC, Gwilym SE, Carr AJ. The assessment of early osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg 2008;90B:411-21.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Int Med 2009;151:264-9.
   Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29:1039-49.
- 16. Escobar A, Quintana JM, Arostegui I, Azkarate J, Güenaga JI, Arenaza JC, et al. Development of explicit criteria for total knee replacement. Int J Tech Assess Health Care 2003;19:57-70.
- 17. NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Undertaking systematic reviews of research on efffectiveness: CRD's guidance for those carrying out or commissioning systematic reviews. 2nd edn. York: University of York; 2001.

18. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality of both randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health 1998;52:377-84.

19. Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration <u>www.cochrane-</u> handbook.org

20. Segal NA, Glass NA, Torner J, Yang M, Felson DT, Sharma L, et al. Quadriceps weakness predicts risk for knee joint space narrowing in women in the MOST cohort. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010;18:769-75.

21. Glass NA, Torner JC, Frey Law LA, Wang K, Yang T, Nevitt MC, et al. The relationship between quadriceps muscle weakness and worsening of knee pain in the MOST cohort: a 5-year longitudinal study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2013;21:1154-9.

- 22. Amin S, Baker K, Niu J, Clancy M, Goggins J, Guermazi A, et al. Quadriceps strength and the risk of cartilage loss and symptom progression in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:189-98.
- 23. Thomas E, Peat G, Mallen C, Wood L, Lacey R, Duncan R, et al. Predicting the course of functional limitation among older adults with knee pain: do local signs, symptoms and radiographs add anything to general indications? Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1390-8.
- 24. Brandt KD, Heilman DK, Slemenda C, Katz BP, Mazzuca SA, Braunstein EM, et al. Quadriceps strength in women with radiographically progressive osteoarthritis of the knee and those with stable radiographic changes. J Rheum 1999;26:2431-7.

25. Furlan AD, Pennick V, Bombardier C, van Tulder M, Editorial Board CBRG. 2009 updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Back Review group. Spine 2009;34:1929-41. 26. Culvenor AG, Wirth W, Ruhdorfer A, Eckstein F. Thigh muscle strength predicts knee replacement risk independent of radiographic disease and pain in women - data from the Osteoarthritis Initative. Arthritis Rheum 2016;68:1145-55.

27. Zeni Jr JA, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Clinical predictors of elective total joint replacement in persons with end-stage knee osteoarthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Dis 2010;11:86.

- 28. Sharma L, Cahue S, Song J, Hayes K, Pai YC, Dunlop D. Physical functioning over three years in knee osteoarthritis: role of psychosocial, local mechanical, and neuromuscular factors. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:3359-70.
- 29. Sharma L, Dunlop D, Cahue S, Song J, Hayes K. Quadriceps strength and osteoarthritis progression in malaligned and lax knees. Ann Int Med 2003;138:613-9.
- 30. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, van Dijk GM, Heymans MW, Twisk JWR, Dekker J. The course of limitations in activities over 5 years in patients with knee and hip osteoarthritis with moderate functional limitations: Risk factors for future functional decline. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2012;20:503-10.
- 31. Colbert CJ, Song J, Dunlop D, Chmiel JS, Hayes KW, Cahue S, et al. Knee confidence as it relates to physical function outcome in persons with or at high risk of knee osteoarthritis in the osteoarthritis initiative. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:1437-46.
- 32. Eckstein F, Hitzl W, Duryea J, Kent Kwoh C, Wirth W, investigators OAI. Baseline and longitudinal change in isometric muscle strength prior to radiographic progression in osteoarthritic and pre-osteoarthritic knees--data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2013;21:682-90.

33. White DK, Keysor JJ, Lavalley MP, Lewis CE, Torner JC, Nevitt MC, et al. Clinically important improvement in function is common in people with or at high risk of knee OA: the MOST study. J Rheum 2010;37:1244-51.

34. Miller ME, Rejeski WJ, Messier SP, Loeser RF. Modifiers of change in physical functioning in older adults with knee pain: the Observational Arthritis Study in Seniors (OASIS). Arthritis Care Res 2001;45:331-9.

- 35. Miyazaki T, Uchida K, Sato M, Watanabe S, Yoshida A, Wada M, et al. Knee laxity after staircase exercise predicts radiographic disease progression in medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:3908-16.
- 36. Slemenda C, Brandt KD, Heilman DK, Mazzuca S, Braunstein EM, Katz BP, et al. Quadriceps weakness and osteoarthritis of the knee. Ann Int Med 1997;127:97-104.
- 37. Thorstensson C, Petersson I, Jacobsson L, Boegard T, Roos E. Reduced functional performance in the lower extremity predicted radiographic knee osteoarthritis five years later. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:402-7.
- 38. Culvenor AG, Collins NJ, Guermazi A, Cook JL, Vicenzino B, Crossley KM. Early patellofemoral osteoarthritis features 1 year after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction predict symptoms and quality of life at 3 years. Arthritis Care Res 2016;68:784-92.
- 39. Hawker GA, Guan J, Croxford R, Coyte PC, Glazier RH, Harvey BJ, et al. A prospective population-based study of the predictors of undergoing total joint arthroplasty. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:3212-20.
- 40. Lange AK, Vanwanseele B, Singh MA. Strength training for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review. Arthritis Care Res 2008;59:1488-94.

41. Mikesky AE, Mazzuca SA, Brandt KD, Perkins SM, Damush T, Lane KE. Effects of strength training on the incidence and progression of knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2006;55:690-9.

- 42. Ettinger WH, Burns R, Messier SP, Applegate W, Rejeski WJ, Morgan T, et al. A randomized trial comparing aerobic exercise and resistance exercise with a health education program in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. The Fitness Arthritis and Seniors Trial (FAST). JAMA 1997;277:25-31.
- 43. Messier SP, Loeser RF, Miller GD, Morgan TM, Rejeski WJ, Sevick MA, et al. Exercise and dietary weight loss in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: the Arthritis, Diet, and Activity Promotion Trial. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:1501-10.

Accepted

# TABLES

### Table 1. Study characteristics

Study	Cohort	No. of subjects (% of cohort)	Men/ Women	Age ± SD, yrs*	BMI ± SD, kg/m <sup>2</sup> *	Radiographic KOA (%)∎	Strength units	Strength assessed	Follow- up, yrs
Amin 2009 <sup>22</sup>	BOKS	265/317 (84%)	154/111	67 ± 9	31.5 ± 5.8	100Σ	Nm/BW	Conc: 60°/s	2.5Ω
Brandt 1999 <sup>24</sup>	-	82/112 (73%)	25/57	72 ± 5	81.9 ± 16.5∆	100	Lb-ft/BW	Conc: 60°/s	2.6
Colbert 2012 <sup>30</sup>	OAI	3975/4796 (83%)∂	2317/1658	62 ± 9	28.6 ± 4.8	55€	Ν	Isom: 60° flexion	3
Culvenor 2016 <sup>25</sup>	OAI	272/316 (86%)	110/162	65 ± 8	29.2 ± 4.2	91	Ν	Isom: 60° flexion	2
Eckstein 2013 <sup>31</sup>	OAI	1412/3981 (35%) •	611/801	62 ± 9	29.0 ± 4.6	71	N/BW	Isom: 60° flexion	2
Glass 2013 <sup>21</sup>	MOST	2404/2946 (82%)	918/1486	62 ± 8	30.6 ± 5.8	36	Nm	Conc: 60°/s	5
Miller 2001 <sup>33</sup>	OASIS	346/480 (72%) <del>*</del>	235/245£	72 ± 5	29.6 ± 5.2	52	N/BW	Conc: 30°/s	2.5
Miyazaki 2012 <sup>34</sup>	-	84/136 (62%)	6/78	72 ± 3	25.0 ± 2.9	81	Kgw	Isom: 90° flexion	8
Pisters 2012 <sup>29</sup>	-	211/288 (73%)	56/155	66 ± 9	28.5 ± 4.5	100@	N/BW#	Isom: angle NR	5
Segal 2010 <sup>20</sup>	MOST	2182/2697 (81%) •	892/1290	62 ± 8	30.3 ± 5.6	NR	Nm	Conc: 60°/s	2.5
Sharma 2003 <sup>28</sup>	MAK	171/178 (96%)	45/126	64 ± 11	30.0 ± 5.5	100	Lb-ft	lsok: 120°/s	1.5
Sharma 2003 <sup>27</sup>	MAK	236/285 (83%)	64/172	69 ± 11	30.8 ± 6.0	100	Lb-ft	Isok: 120°/s	3
Thomas 2008 <sup>23</sup>	CASK	621/757 (82%)	338/283	65 ± 8	NR	69&	mmHg	Isom: 90° flexion	1.5
White 2010 <sup>32</sup>	MOST	1801/2244 (80%)	1135/666	63 ± 8	30.9 ± 6.0	59¶	Nm	Conc: 60°/s	2.5
Zeni 2010 <sup>26</sup>	-	115/120 (96%)	59/61£	60 ± 10	32.2 ± 6.5	100	N/BW‡	Isom: 75° flexion	2

\*at baseline quads assessment

• ≥Kellgren Lawrence grade 2 unless indicated



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

# sum score for left and right side together

£ males and female numbers reported for total cohort, not number included in analyses

@ knee osteoarthritis defined according to American College of Rheumatology radiologic or clinical criteria

& knee osteoarthritis defined as presence of definite osteophyte

€ knee osteoarthritis defined as JSN ≥1 using OARSI atlas

¶ patellofemoral joint included in osteoarthritis definition – defined as patellofemoral osteophyte ≥grade 2, or patellofemoral JSN ≥grade 2 with an osteophyte, cyst or sclerosis score ≥grade 1

∑ tibiofemoral osteoarthritis (77%) defined as Kellgren Lawrence ≥grade 2; patellofemoral osteoarthritis (23%) defined as definite osteophyte

<sup>‡</sup> N/BMI reported in paper, but N/BW provided by authors and presented in table for consistency

 $\Omega$  1.25 years follow-up used if 2.5 years unavailable

Δ body mass (kg)

∂ WOMAC-Function: 3935/4796 (82%); SF-12: 3767/4796 (79%); Chair-stand task: 3597/4796 (75%); Walk task: 3605/4796 (75%)

estimated from number of knees

A data are for functional performance inventory (for stair-climb task: 291/480 [61%], car-transfer task: 301/480 [63%])

BOKS, Boston Osteoarthritis of the Knee Study (symptomatic and radiographic knee OA); OAI, Osteoarthritis Initiative (with or at risk of symptomatic knee OA); MOST, Multicentre Osteoarthritis Study (with or at risk of radiographic knee OA); OASIS, Observational Arthritis Study in Seniors (self-reported knee pain and functional limitation); MAK, Mechanical Factors in Arthritis of the Knee (radiographic knee OA and functional limitations); CASK, Clinical Assessment Study of the Knee (knee symptoms); Lb-ft, pound-foot; BW, body weight, N, Newton; Nm, Newton-meters; NR, not reported. Conc, concentric; Ecc, eccentric; Isom, isometric; Isok, isokinetic; OA, osteoarthritis, Kgw, kilogram weight

cepte

26

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

## Table 2. Data not included in meta-analysis

J J

Decline	Study	No. with decline	Effect size*	Association \$	Study quality
Structure					
Kellgren Lawrence grade	Brandt et al <sup>24</sup>	17/82 (21%)	Total: 1.04 (0.39, 2.74)	0	Low-quality
			Female: 1.00 (0.33, 2.99)	0	
			Male: 0.36 (0.04, 3.29)	0	
Patellofemoral JSN	Segal et al <sup>20</sup>	91/2182 (4%)§	Total: 1.38 (1.00, 1.91)	-	Low-quality
			Female: 1.59 (1.12, 2.26)	-	
			Male: 0.67 (0.30, 1.48)^	0	
TFJ and PFJ cartilage lesions	Amin et al <sup>22</sup>	TFJ: 118/265 (45%)	TFJ medial: 1.14 (0.77, 1.71)	0	High-quality
		PFJ: 58/265 (22%)	TFJ lateral: 0.83 (0.48, 1.44)	0	
1			PFJ medial: 1.17 (0.73, 1.86)	0	
			PFJ lateral: 2.27 (1.29, 3.98)	-	
Function					
WOMAC-Function	Pisters et al <sup>29</sup>	NR	β 0.11 (0.01, 1.36) (p=0.08)	0	High-quality
SF-12 Function	Colbert et al <sup>30</sup>	1978/3767 (53%)	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	0	High-quality
Functional Performance Inventory	Miller et al <sup>33</sup>	NR	Co-efficient 0.048 (p<0.05)	-	High-quality
Car-transfer task	Miller et al <sup>33</sup>	NR	Co-efficient -0.665 (p>0.05)	0	High-quality
Stair-climb task	Miller et al <sup>33</sup>	NR	Co-efficient 0.932 (p<0.001)	-	High-quality
Walk task	Colbert et al <sup>30</sup>	1682/3605 (47%)	1.02 (1.01, 1.02)	-	High-quality

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Pisters et al<sup>29</sup> NR

β 0.60 (0.37, 1.03) (p=0.06) o

High-quality

\* data presented as odds ratio (95% confidence interval) unless indicated otherwise

^ For males, low vs middle tertile not reported, so data presented are low vs high knee extensor strength

§ number of participants estimated from number of knees

\$ - lower strength predictive of deterioration; o no association observed

β, standardized regression co-efficient; SF-12, Short-Form-12; WOMAC, Western Ontario and MacMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index; NR, not reported; JSN, joint space narrowing; TFJ, tibiofemoral joint; PFJ, patellofemoral joint;

Dte

					lter	n num	ber					
Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total
Amin et al. <sup>22</sup>	А	А	А	U	А	А	А	А	U	U	А	8
Brandt et al. <sup>24</sup>	U	А	А	U	Ι	U	А	T	U	U	А	4
Colbert et al. <sup>30</sup>	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	U	А	10
Culvenor et al. <sup>25</sup>	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	U	U	А	9
Eckstein et al. <sup>31</sup>	А	А	А	Ι	А	U	А	I	U	U	А	6
Glass et al. <sup>21</sup>	А	А	U	U	А	А	А	А	U	U	А	7
Miller et al. <sup>33</sup>	А	А	U	А	А	А	А	Ι	А	U	А	8
Miyazaki et al. <sup>34</sup>	А	А	А	Ι	А	А	А	I	U	U	А	7
Pisters et al. <sup>29</sup>	А	А	А	А	А	А	А	Ι	А	U	А	9
Segal et al. <sup>20</sup>	А	А	U	U	А	U	А	А	U	U	А	6
Sharma et al. <sup>28</sup>	Ι	А	А	U	А	U	А	А	U	U	А	6
Sharma et al. <sup>27</sup>	А	А	А	Ι	А	А	А	А	U	U	А	8
Thomas et al. <sup>23</sup>	А	А	U	U	Ι	А	А	А	U	U	А	6
White et al. <sup>32</sup>	А	А	U	Ι	А	А	А	А	U	U	А	7
Zeni et al. <sup>26</sup>	А	А	А	Ι	Ι	А	А	А	А	U	А	8

 Table 3. Risk of bias assessment using the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination and an additional item from the Downs and Black Scale

A, adequate; U, unclear; I, inadequate

Risk of bias item description:

- 1. Were there sufficient description of the groups and the distribution of prognostic factors?^
- 2. Were the prognostic factors accurately assessed?
- 3. Were the groups assembled at a similar point in disease progression?^
- 4. Were the groups comparable on all important compounding factors?^
- 5. Was there adequate adjustment for the effects of these confounding factors?
- 6. Was outcome assessment blind to exposure status?
- 7. Was follow-up long enough for the outcomes to occur?
- 8. Was a sufficient proportion of the cohort followed-up?
- 9. Were dropout rates and reasons for drop outs similar across exposed and unexposed groups?<sup>^</sup>
- 10. Was a dose-response relationship between intervention and outcome demonstrated?
- 11. Was the main outcome measure used accurate (valid and reliable)?

^ Studies that report knee extensor weakness as a continuous variable, where exposed and unexposed groups were not analyzed separately, were scored adequate.

#### **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection

**Figure 2.** Results of meta-analyses on low knee extensor strength and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing), symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement) and functional (WOMAC-Function, chair-stand task) deterioration. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario McMasters University Osteoarthritis Index.

**Figure 3.** Results of meta-analyses on: (A) sex-specific low knee extensor strength and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing) and symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement) deterioration; and (B) low knee extensor strength and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing), symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement), and function (WOMAC-Function, Chair-stand test) based on KOA subgroup status (radiographic KOA, mixed, no radiographic KOA). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario McMasters University Osteoarthritis Index; KOA, knee osteoarthritis.

Accel



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection

159x145mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Acce

Author	Year	Partic	ipants					OR (95% CI)	Weight
Joint Spac	e Narrowing	1			1				
Eckstein	2013	1412			-			1.04 (0.93, 1.15)	42.50
Segal	2010	2182				<b>.</b>		1.52 (1.24, 1.86)	37.54
Sharma	2003	206			•	_		0.65 (0.30, 1.69)	9.97
Miyazaki	2012	84						1.07 (0.42, 2.36)	9.99
Subtotal (I-	squared = 7	5.2%, p =	0.007)		$\diamond$	>		1.15 (0.84, 1.56)	100.00
WOMAC-P	ain								
Brandt	1999	82			_	+		1.68 (0.62, 4.54)	4.36
Glass	2013	2404				-		1.34 (1.06, 1.62)	95.64
Subtotal (I-	squared = 0	.0%, p = 0	0.663)		<	>		1.35 (1.10, 1.67)	100.00
Total Knee	Replaceme	nt			1				
Culvenor	2016	272				-		1.23 (0.93, 1.64)	59.45
Zeni	2010	115				+		2.68 (1.30, 5.51)	40.55
Subtotal (I-	squared = 7	4.2%, p =	0.049)		-		-	1.69 (0.80, 3.57)	100.00
WOMAC-F	unction								
Colbert	2012	3935			•			1.02 (1.01, 1.02)	31.90
Sharma	2003	236			-++			1.24 (0.78, 1.97)	19.02
Thomas	2008	621					-	2.44 (1.61, 3.69)	20.68
White	2010	1801				_		1.36 (1.12, 1.66)	28.40
Subtotal (I-	squared = 8	8.4%, p =	0.000)		<	>		1.38 (1.00, 1.89)	100.00
Chair-Stan	d Test								
Colbert	2012	3597			•			1.03 (1.02, 1.03)	99.95
Sharma	2003	236						1.14 (0.90, 1.40)	0.05
Subtotal (I-	squared = 0	.0%, p = 0	).368)					1.03 (1.03, 1.04)	100.00
NOTE: Wei	ghts are fron	n random	effects ar	nalysis					
		1	1	<u> </u>		1	1		
		.1	.2	.5	1	2	5	10	
			Reduc	ed Risk		Increase	ed Risk		

Figure 2. Results of meta-analyses on knee extensor weakness and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing), symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement) and functional (WOMAC-Function, chair-stand task) deterioration. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario McMasters University Osteoarthritis Index.

205x182mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Acce

-

1



Figure 3. Results of meta-analyses on: (A) sex-specific low knee extensor strength and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing) and symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement) deterioration; and (B) low knee extensor strength and the risk of structural (joint space narrowing), symptomatic (WOMAC-Pain, total knee replacement), and function (WOMAC-Function, Chair-stand test) based on KOA subgroup status (radiographic KOA, mixed, no radiographic KOA). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario McMasters University Osteoarthritis Index; KOA, knee osteoarthritis.

282x125mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Accepte

MEDLINE	Knee [MeSH] OR knee [tish] OR Knee joint [MeSH] OR Knee joint [tish] OR
WEDLINE	tipiofemoral [tiab] OR Patellofemoral joint [MeSH] OR Patellofemoral joint [tiab]
	AND
	Muscle strength [MeSH] OR muscle strength [tiab] OR muscle weakness [MeSH] OR
	muscle weakness [tiab] OR quadriceps strength [tiab] OR quadriceps weakness [tiab
	OR knee extension strength [tiab] OR knee extension weakness [tiab] OR knee
	strength [tiab] OR knee power [tiab] OR muscle power [tiab] OR muscle performance
	[tiab] OR muscle function [tiab] OR lower limb strength [tiab] OR lower limb
	weakness [tiab]
	AND
	Risk factors [MeSH] OR risk factors [tiab] OR risk [MeSH] OR risk [tiab] OR causality
	[MeSH] OR causal* [tiab] OR prognos* [tiab] OR causation [tiab] OR predisposing
	[tiab] OR predict* [tiab] OR longitudinal [tiab]
	USTEOARTINITIS [WIESH] UK USTEOARTINITIS, KNEE [WIESH] UK OSTEOARTINIT [TIAD] OR
Embasa	artinosis [Lido] OK degenerative artificits [Lido] OK goliartifi' [Lido] Knee [MeSH] OR knee [tiph] OR Knee joint [tiph] OP tibiofomoral [tiph] OP
Linbase	Patellofemoral joint [MeSH] OR Patellofemoral joint [tiab]
	AND
	Muscle strength [MeSH] OR muscle strength [tiab] OR muscle weakness [MeSH] OR
	muscle weakness [tiab] OR quadriceps strength [tiab] OR quadriceps weakness [tiab
	OR knee extension strength [tiab] OR knee extension weakness [tiab] OR knee
	strength [tiab] OR knee power [tiab] OR muscle power [tiab] OR muscle performance
	[tiab] OR muscle function [MeSH] OR muscle function [tiab] OR lower limb strength
	[tiab] OR lower limb weakness [tiab] OR limb weakness [MeSH]OR knee function
	[MeSH] OR muscle isometric contraction [MeSH]
	Risk factors [MeSH] OR risk factors [tiab] OR risk [MeSH] OR risk [tiab] OR causal*
	[tiab] OR prognos" [tiab] OR prognosis [MeSH] OR causation [tiab] OR predisposing
	ctudy [MoSH]
	Osteoarthritis [MeSH] OR Osteoarthritis Knee [MeSH] OR knee arthritis [MeSH] OF
	osteoarthr* [tiab] OR arthrosis* [tiab] OR degenerative arthritis [tiab] OR gonarthr*
	[tiab]
SportDISCUS	Knee OR knee joint OR tibiofemoral OR patellofemoral
	AND
	Muscle strength OR muscle weakness OR quadriceps strength OR quadriceps
	weakness OR knee extension strength OR knee extension weakness OR knee
	strength OR knee power OR muscle power OR muscle performance OR muscle
	function OR lower limb strength OR lower limb weakness
	AND Disk factors OD risk OD source (* OD support as * OD source tion OD such is realist of OD
	KISK Tactors UK risk UK causat* UK prognos* UK causation UK predisposing OR
	Ostenarthr* OR arthrosis* OR degenerative arthritis OR gonarthr*
СІЛАНІ	Knee OR knee joint OR tibiofemoral OR natellofemoral
	AND
1	Muscle strength OR muscle weakness OR quadriceps strength OR quadriceps
	weakness OR knee extension strength OR knee extension weakness OR knee
	strength OR knee nower OR muscle nower OR muscle nerformance OR muscle

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

	function OR lower limb strength OR lower limb weakness
	AND Dick factors OD rick OD coursel* OD prognac* OD coursetion OD prodisposing OD
	RISK factors OR risk OR causal. OR prognos. OR causation OR predisposing OR
	AND Osteoarthr* OB arthrosis* OB degenerative arthritis OB gonarthr*
Sconus	Knoo OP knoo joint OP tibiofomoral OP natollofomoral
Scopus	
	Muscle strength OR muscle weakness OR quadricens strength OR quadricens
	weakness OR knee extension strength OR knee extension weakness OR knee
	strength OR knee power OR muscle power OR muscle performance OR muscle
	function OR lower limb strength OR lower limb weakness
	AND
	Risk factors OR risk OR causal* OR prognos* OR causation OR predisposing OR
	predict* OR longitudinal
	AND
	Osteoarthr* OR arthrosis* OR degenerative arthritis OR gonarthr*
Web of	Knee OR knee joint OR tibiofemoral OR patellofemoral
Science	AND
	Muscle strength OR muscle weakness OR quadriceps strength OR quadriceps
	weakness OR knee extension strength OR knee extension weakness OR knee
	strength OR knee power OR muscle power OR muscle performance OR muscle
	function OR lower limb strength OR lower limb weakness
	AND
	Risk factors OR risk OR causal* OR prognos* OR causation OR predisposing OR
	predict* OR longitudinal
	AND
	Osteoarthr* OR arthrosis* OR degenerative arthritis OR gonarthr*

#### Appendix 2. Reasons for study exclusion after full-text review

The 25 studies that were excluded after full-text review had a cross-sectional study design (1-5); did not report data for knee extensor muscle weakness related to the deterioration of structure, symptoms or function (6-9); investigated participants <50 years of age (10, 11); evaluated quadriceps muscle mass (12), investigated incident structural or symptomatic disease, where other studies from the same cohort focus on structural and symptomatic decline (13-15), investigated concurrent change in knee extensor strength and not prognostic capacity (16), included strength measures at follow-up in evaluation of outcome decline (17), investigated the same outcome in the same study population as another included study (18-22); was a review (23); investigated balance, which we considered an impairment (not a functional outcome) (24), or investigated only those with symptomatic improvement – a different population from all other included studies (25).

#### REFERENCES

1. Berger MJ, McKenzie CA, Chess DG, Goela A, Doherty TJ. Quadriceps neuromuscular function and self-reported functional ability in knee osteoarthritis. J Applied Physiol 2012;113:255-62.

2. Brown K, Kachelman J, Topp R, Quesada PM, Nyland J, Malkani A, et al. Predictors of functional task performance among patients scheduled for total knee arthroplasty. J Strength Conditioning Res 2009;23:436-43.

3. Knoop J, Van Der Leeden M, Van Der Esch M, Thorstensson CA, Gerritsen M, Voorneman RE, et al. Association of lower muscle strength with self-reported knee instability in osteoarthritis of the knee: Results from the Amsterdam Osteoarthritis Cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:38-45.

4. Ruhdorfer A, Wirth W, Eckstein F. Relationship between isometric thigh muscle strength and minimum clinically important differences in knee function in osteoarthritis: Data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Arthritis Care Res 2015;67:509-18.

5. Steultjens MPM, Dekker J, Van Baar ME, Oostendorp RAB, Bijlsma JWJ. Muscle strength, pain and disability in patients with osteoarthritis. Clin Rehab 2001;15:331-41.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

56.

#### **Arthritis Care & Research**

6. Aguiar GC, Do Nascimento MR, De Miranda AS, Rocha NP, Teixeira AL, Scalzo PL. Effects of an exercise therapy protocol on inflammatory markers, perception of pain, and physical performance in individuals with knee osteoarthritis. Rheum Int 2015;35:525-31.

7. Foroughi N, Smith RM, Lange AK, Baker MK, Fiatarone Singh MA, Vanwanseele B. Lower limb muscle strengthening does not change frontal plane moments in women with knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. Clin Biomech 2011;26:167-74.

8. Jenkinson CM, Doherty M, Avery AJ, Read A, Taylor MA, Sach TH, et al. Effects of dietary intervention and quadriceps strengthening exercises on pain and function in overweight people with knee pain: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2009;339:b3170.

9. Sharma L, Chmiel JS, Almagor O, Moisio K, Chang AH, Belisle L, et al. Knee instability and basic and advanced function decline in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2015;67:1095-102.

- 10. Hall M, Wrigley TV, Metcalf BR, Cicuttini FM, Wang Y, Hinman RS, et al. Do moments and strength predict cartilage changes after partial meniscectomy? Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015;47:1549-
- 11. Hall M, Wrigley TV, Metcalf BR, Hinman RS, Dempsey AR, Mills PM, et al. Knee muscle strength after recent partial meniscectomy does not relate to 2-year change in knee adduction moment. Clin Orthop Rel Res 2014;472:3114-20.

 Segal NA, Findlay C, Wang K, Torner JC, Nevitt MC. The longitudinal relationship between thigh muscle mass and the development of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2012;20:1534-40.

Segal NA, Glass NA, Felson DT, Hurley M, Yang M, Nevitt M, et al. Effect of quadriceps
 strength and proprioception on risk for knee Osteoarthritis. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2010;42:2081-8.
 Segal NA, Torner JC, Felson D, Niu J, Sharma L, Lewis CE, et al. Effect of thigh strength on incident radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in a longitudinal cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2009;61:1210-7.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

15. Segal NA, Torner JC, Felson DT, Niu J, Sharma L, Lewis CE, et al. Knee extensor strength does not protect against incident knee symptoms at 30 months in the Multicenter Knee Osteoarthritis (MOST) cohort. PMR 2009;1:459-65.

- 16. Van Der Esch M, Holla JF, Van Der Leeden M, Knol DL, Lems WF, Roorda LD, et al. Decrease of muscle strength is associated with increase of activity limitations in early knee osteoarthritis: 3-year results from the check study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014;22:S436.
- 17. Felson D, Niu J, Sack B, Aliabadi P, McCullough C, Nevitt MC. Progression of osteoarthritis as a state of inertia. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:924-9.
- 18. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, van Dijk GM, Dekker J, Group CS. Avoidance of activity and limitations in activities in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a 5 year follow-up study on the mediating role of reduced muscle strength. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014;22:171-7.
- Rejeski WJ, Miller ME, Foy C, Messier S, Rapp S. Self-efficacy and the progression of functional limitations and self-reported disability in older adults with knee pain. J Geront Series B
   Psych Sci Social Sci 2001;56:S261-S5.
- 20. Ruhdorfer A, Wirth W, Eckstein F. Longitudinal change in thigh muscle strength prior and concurrent to a minimal clinically important worsening ot improvement in knee function Data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Arthritis Rheum 2016;Epub ahead of print.
- 21. Dannhauer T, Sattler M, Wirth W, Hunter DJ, Kwoh CK, Eckstein F. Longitudinal sensitivity to change of MRI-based muscle cross-sectional area versus isometric strength analysis in osteoarthritic knees with and without structural progression: pilot data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. MAGMA 2014;27:339-47.
- 22. Riddle DL, Kong X, Jiranek WA. Factors associated with rapid progression to knee arthroplasty: Complete analysis of three-year data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Joint Bone Spine 2012;79:298-303.
- 23. Bruyère O, Cooper C, Arden N, Branco J, Brandi ML, Herrero-Beaumont G, et al. Can we identify patients with high risk of osteoarthritis progression who will respond to treatment? A focus on epidemiology and phenotype of osteoarthritis. Drugs Aging 2015;32:179-87.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

24. Messier SP, Glasser JL, Ettinger Jr WH, Craven TE, Miller ME. Declines in strength and balance in older adults with chronic knee pain: A 30-month longitudinal, observational study. Arthritis Care Res 2002;47:141-8.

25. White DK, Felson DT, Niu J, Nevitt MC, Lewis CE, Torner JC, et al. Reasons for functional

decline despite reductions in knee pain: The Multicenter Osteoarthritis Study. Phys Therap

2011;91:1849-56.

Acc

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.